

# History of the UCPI in Stats

## #1 Published evidence

Mike Hughes [spiesatwork.org.uk](https://spiesatwork.org.uk)

## Preface

Even though it is not the most open of public inquiries, The Undercover Policing Inquiry has published a substantial amount documentary evidence and witness statements on its website <https://www.ucpi.org.uk>. Its publications are already a remarkable and substantial archive, that provides insight into the UK's domestic secret state and the development of the Alt Left on which it Scotland Yard had infiltrated and spied on since 1968. The UCPI provides downloadable spreadsheets of its growing archive.

That archive will remain long after the details its conclusions and recommendations have been implemented or shelved and then forgotten by most, but inspiring and enlightening investigative and labour historians of the future.

Whether or not the inquiry succeeds in eliminating intrusive political policing remains to be seen, But the history of the inquiry itself is significant, and it is largely locked into those downloadable spreadsheets. Many of us following the inquiry closely grumble not just about the many redactions but also the complexity of the navigating the evidence base.

As a former commissioner and project manager in the public sector and I am staggered that a public inquiry that has already cost over £100,000,000 has not produced any detailed management information (MI). Like the UCPI history much of that MI is locked into the spreadsheet catalogues it has produced. It is locked there because they are not organised in a way that allows them to be analysed or searched by subject. The most obvious problem is that there is no functional or source categorisation. Another problem seems to be that when changes and improvements are made without being applied retrospectively leading to inconsistency, the arch enemy to clear analysis and quick searching.

Rather than criticise and grumble about this I have decided to have a bash at putting some of these shortcomings right, to see if some information can be extracted from the catalogue's data. I am doing this now, while there are some engaged peers around who can check my reasoning and results.

I've started with the diverse and substantial and unanalysed archive of nearly 9000 published items of evidence mostly relating to the operation of the SDS from its inception in 1968 to its closure in 2007. Its contents ranging from telephone messages through to 250 page witness statements

# Background

The UCPI is the judicial inquiry into undercover policing in England and Wales by the Metropolitan Police which started in 1968 and continues today. Its focus is on its use in policing political protest and dissent, where police officers adopted deep cover fake identities to spy on those engaged in campaigning and their friends and families. It was profoundly intrusive and abusive, and the reports produced from their covert surveillance were inaccurate and inappropriate and defamatory and were circulated to MI5 and within the Metropolitan police, in the Civil Service and Ministers and to other Police Forces in the UK.

Although the inquiry was set up in 2015 it is still collecting evidence and has only produced one short interim report covering its first few years of operation. When it finally reports in a few years' time it ought to have significant impact on the way the UK as a whole is policed, data on citizens is collected and used, and the operation of its domestic secret services.

The findings of the inquiry will be constitutionally significant. But for that reason the way it has reached those findings is of interest in itself. has collected and processed the evidence on which the findings are based are in themselves interesting and important. There are also two elements to the Inquiry one that happens publicly and openly, albeit with some redactions and closed sessions and reporting restriction. This is based on evidence that the Inquiry has published often redacted on its website.

The other is a secret inquiry which will report to a small number of politicians and civil servants unredacted findings that will not be published. Both inquiries will rely on evidence from witnesses whose names and positions are not published. Anonymity of cover and real names has been granted to a significant number of police witnesses on the basis of undemonstrated risks to themselves or their family. Anonymity has been granted to other officers who rose to senior positions in the MPS on the basis of a real, but irrelevant risk to their personal reputation. On the other hand only a small proportion of those who were spied and reported on are aware of their presence in the documents from which their names are redacted with a "privacy" blackout. This falls far short of the approach that was taken by the Information Commissioner's Office in The Consulting Association blacklisting prosecution.

But in spite of these serious concerns about the management of secrecy in the UCPI there is no doubt that no matter how redacted the nearly 9000 items of published provide an unprecedented window on the scale of intrusion and aggravated trespass by the domestic counter subversion operations of the British secret state.

## Starting to analyse the evidence,

As catalogues of the archive the spreadsheet is more than adequate and they include a substantial and formulaic approach which makes it easy to understand the patterns and regularities and to make rapid initial progress sorting alphabetically, and detailed descriptions that make it easy to arrange.

There is a field on the original spreadsheet for document type, but there are 103 different document types recorded many describing the medium and few describing the source or even the original function of the evidence. This makes it particularly difficult to make sense of it.

I have therefore identified five functional types, and within each of those there is a limited number of sources. The reference numbers given to the evidence by the Inquiry team are helpful indicators of sources. Those that start with "MPS" have been submitted to the inquiry by the Metropolitan Police Service (Scotland Yard). Those that start "UCPI" have been retrieved by, or given to, the UCPI. A significant early source for the UCPI was the hard drives of former MPS computers. But this was fraught with difficulty because there were a large number of blank pro formas and samples.

Fortunately a lot of intelligence reports sent by the MPS were and recovered by the UCPI were retrieved from MI5 who kept them on file, while MPS lost or destroyed their copies and destroyed the notes filed by the UCOs. These form the majority of the "MPSB" category, altogether 4,462 of the MPSB Category have an UCPI reference.

- MPS has provided 2477 items of evidence
- UCPI has received or recovered 5934 items
- 84 come from other sources

Below is a key to the new categorisation of the evidence, which I refer to here as "hugcats" that I have developed, I have not removed the UCPI categories.

# Key to the HugCats

Hugcat: Content(source)	Note on Content and Source
----------------------------	----------------------------

## Open and secondary sources and personal material from CP witnesses

Exhibit (CAB)	Cabinet Office documents from National Archives
Exhibit (Civ)	Leaflets. Articles, photos submitted by civilians
Exhibit (DOC)	Published documents with a reference no beginning DOC
Exhibit (HO)	Documents from within Home Office
Exhibit (MPS)	Published documents etc held by MPS
Exhibit (SyS)	Published documents etc held by Security Service (MI5)
Exhibit (UCPI)	Published documents sourced by UCPI

## Intelligence reports

Intel (MPS)	INTEL Report from MPS
Intel (Event Proforma)	INTEL Report on MPS pro forma
Intel (MPS)T	MPS Intelligence - telegram
Intel (MPS)Tel	MPS Intelligence - telephone message
Intel (MPS)Tx	MPS Intelligence - telex
Intel (MPSB)	MPS Intelligence branded special branch of/en from MI5
Intel (NPO)	MPS Intelligence - NPOIU
Intel (SyS)	Intelligence – circulated by Security Service
Intel (UCPI)	UCPI retrieved MPS Intelligence
Intel (UCPI) "Nominal Pro"	UCPI retrieved MPS Intelligence on MPS pro forma

## Internal documents some of which contain intelligence

File Note (MPS)	Note for internal MPS use, may contain Intelligence
File Note (SyS)	Note for internal MI5 use may contain Intelligence
File Note (UCPI)	Note for internal MPS retrieved by UCPI

---

**Administrative Documents**

MEMO (MPS)	Non-personnel admin document from MPS
Memo (SyS)	Admin document from MI5
MPS-SyS Liaison	Liaison between MI5 and MPS re SDS
Personnel	MPS Personnel, Staffing and discipline issues

**Witness Statements**

Witness Statement (Civ)	Civilian WS
Witness Statement (HO)	Home Office WS
Witness Statement (Pol)	Police and other state WS
Witness Statement (SyS)	MI5 WS
Gist	Summarised WSs
Herne Statement	Statement of HNs evidence by Op Herne officer

Notes: Retrieved by UCPI indicates the item has a UCPI reference although is likely to be an MPS document which has not been volunteered to the inquiry by them

# Publication Data

The publication date is given for all but two of the items this allows us to do some ‘traffic analysis’ of the public facing side of the UCPI’s work. Although it began work in 2015 and began receiving evidence the following year none was published until 2018, and only two then. None at all was published in 2019 and significant quantities of evidence only appeared online when the hearings in public started in 2020.

**Table 1 Hugcats for all published evidence by year published**

Hughes Cats	2018	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	Grand Total
<b>Exhibits</b>									
Exhibit (CAB)			1		71		3		75
Exhibit (Civ)		15	28	11	1	86	177	195	513
Exhibit (DOC)		21	6	15	3	4			49
Exhibit (HO)		9	6	7	75	2	4		103
Exhibit (MPS)		41	10	11	3	34	15	4	118
Exhibit (SyS)				1			1		2
Exhibit (UCPI)	1	10	34	1	4	21	4	16	91
<b>Intelligence</b>									
Intel (Event Proforma)			1			127	13		141
Intel (MPS)		31	4	3	23	56	212	18	347
Intel (MPS)T		30	1	2	2	2			37
Intel (MPS)Tel		2	2	2		23	1		30
Intel (MPS)Tx					1				1
Intel (MPSB)	1	761	1469	176	30	2984	51	3	5475
Intel (NPO)							1		1
Intel (SyS)			99	8	2	120	19		248
Intel (UCPI)			12		1	158	3	1	175
Intel (UCPI) "Nominal Pro"						31	29		60
Intrl (MPS)		27	97	12	7	15	4	154	316
<b>Internal docs may contain Intel</b>									
File Note (MPS)		4				3	21		28
File Note (SyS)		5	2		1	21	8		37

<b>Hughes Cats</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>	<b>2024</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>Grand Total</b>
File Note (UCPI)						14			14
Admin Docs									
MEMO (MPS)		32	31	21	7	42	25		158
Memo (SyS)			1						1
MPS-SyS Liaison			3	7	11	13	2		36
Personnel		9	21	5	5	84	43	18	185
Witness Statements									
Witness Statement (Civ)		2	17	13	3	6	3	6	50
Witness Statement (HO)					4	1			5
Witness Statement (Pol)		20	18	14	6	24	6		88
Witness Statement (SyS)			1			1			2
Gist		6	9	1	2	1	1		20
Herne Statement			1			38	16	15	70
Grand Total	2	1025	1874	310	262	3911	662	430	8476

As of March 13, 2026, 8476 items had been published table 3 isolates the cumulative figure from Table 1.

**Table 2 Cumulative Counts of Evidence items to March 13 2026 by Hugcat**

<b>Hughes Cats</b>	<b>Count</b>
Exhibit (CAB)	75
Exhibit (Civ)	513
Exhibit (DOC)	49
Exhibit (HO)	103
Exhibit (MPS)	118
Exhibit (SyS)	2
Exhibit (UCPI)	91
<b>Intelligence</b>	
Intel (Event Proforma)	141
Intel (MPS)	347
Intel (MPS)T	37
Intel (MPS)Tel	30
Intel (MPS)Tx	1
Intel (MPSB)	5475
Intel (NPO)	1
Intel (SyS)	248
Intel (UCPI)	175
Intel (UCPI) "Nominal Pro"	60
Intrl (MPS)	316
<b>Internal docs may contain Intel</b>	
File Note (MPS)	28
File Note (SyS)	37
File Note (UCPI)	14
<b>Admin Docs</b>	
MEMO (MPS)	158
Memo (SyS)	1
MPS-SyS Liaison	36
Personnel	185
<b>Witness Statements</b>	
Witness Statement (Civ)	50
Witness Statement (HO)	5
Witness Statement (Pol)	88
Witness Statement (SyS)	2
Gist	20
Herne Statement	70
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>8476</b>

Further evidence from managers will be taken over the summer but these figures here represent the overwhelming majority of the evidence that will form the basis of the Mitting Report into the 38 years of operation of the special demonstration squad.

## Original Document Date


Only seventeen evidence items date before 1966 with discussions that lead to the formation of the SDS starting in 1967. However, another 117 are dated 1900, the default for undated items. The table below identifies the reliable original dates of the evidential items in the UCPI.

On the face of it it looks like a normal distribution, but there are many factors that influence the traffic of evidence into the UCPI archive. As a result it would be a serious error to try to read anything into this chart about the performance or levels of activity of the SDS, levels of subversive activity in the country and specifically the Capital, or the party political interest in “counter-subversion”, or the level of poor and abusive behaviour by the spycops and therefore the focus of the UCPI. All play a part in driving evidence into the Archive.

The spike in the number of documents dating between 1982 and 1985 feels like it should be statistically significant however there are other factors which undermine this. Factors which might support an attribution of “significance” include that 1982 was the time when Thatcherism was consolidating its petit bourgeois position as mainstream Conservatism, and the Falkland’s War occurred. 1985 was the year the miners’ strike ended. This period was when my time as an activist was at its busiest, though not by then in the capital and just before my kids were born. So I have followed this part of the inquiry better able to comment from my own knowledge base. And one of the things that strikes me is how little the Falkland’s War and Thatcher’s war against the NUM, and the wilful destruction of coal, steel and textile industries featured in the SDS story.

There has been a lot of talk about the “tasking” of the UCOs by senior officers and MI5. In reality, with the exception of the SWP, that tasking determined where the UCOs looked, but not necessarily not which groups and they infiltrated and who they befriended. That was left entirely to the UCOs themselves, as handsomely paid and therefore completely unreliable sources of “Covert Human Intelligence”. As well as having an incentive to tell their controllers what they wanted to hear the quality of the intelligence was also impaired by the fact that the UCOs knew little, and understood even less, about the politics of the groups into which they had secreted themselves. The value of the archive to future historians of radical politics will lie not in the defamatory, exaggerated and abusive intelligence reports that were filed about them, but in the eloquent and sometimes heart-rending testimony of the civilian witnesses to the UCPI.

Chart 1



What the UCPI calls the “first tranche” of the existence of the SDS saw officers, initially deployed exclusively around protesters against US military action against the North Vietnamese, being deployed against anti-apartheid campaigners and specifically the Stop The Seventy Tour to frustrate a tour of the whites only South African rugby union tour. It seems they were also closely watching support in London for the Civil Rights Campaign in Northern Ireland.

In 1969 in the face of increasingly violent protestant opposition to the civil rights protests in the territory in which the exclusively protestant police force, the RUC, Wilson’s Labour Government sent troops there to restore order and provide protection for catholic communities. It was a task that the Army singularly failed to achieve, and in the process alienated themselves from the Catholic communities. Initially the IRA the north had supported the peaceful protests of the Civil Rights Campaign. But the IRA had achieved independence in the south in 1929/21 through armed struggle, and by the end of 1968 a breakaway faction had formed the Provisional IRA determined to reopen the armed struggle against British Rule. The Labour Party had no presence or support in Ireland and no idea how broker peace in the territory while introducing equal rights for the large but minority Catholic community. The MPS Special Branch has been first been established to fight a secret battle with Irish republican activists the end of the nineteenth century once again they were given the task.

There is little to suggest that the SDS, as a force within the force, played a central part in MPS operation in Ireland itself, but there was a large northern Irish diaspora in London within which there was substantial number of republican supporters. Irish officers in the SDS had already been keeping an eye on support for the civil rights civil movement in the capital. The Labour government’s position on The Troubles was literally hopeless, and the CPGB stayed committed to its former allies in the official IRA. In the mainland most of the Alternative Left who had been supporting the Civil Rights Movement in Ireland and armed liberation struggles overseas reached a supportive compromise enabling them to declare unconditional support for Irish Independence,

and in the concept of the International Specialists (soon to become the SWP), unconditional but not uncritical support for PIRA.

In August 1971 Ten Heath's government introduced internment without trial for alleged PIRA members and other republican paramilitaries. Two years later it was extended to Protestant paramilitaries. It was a shocking move for a liberal democracy to take in its own homeland and the anti-internment campaign led by republicans attracted broader support in England. By this time Provisional Sinn Fein, the political wing of PIRA, had an organised structure in the capital.

Much of the anonymisation of former undercover officers by the UCPI is driven by health concerns and imagined risks from members of the Alt Left committed to non-violent direct action [NVDA].

The UCPI has identified a small number of instances where there is a real threat of harm to the former UCO. This very strongly suggests that one or two UCO's may have translated their profile in the Irish civil rights movements into deep cover infiltration of Provisional Sinn Fein. It is unlikely that this provided any intelligence of value about the armed struggle or that any officer of the MPS was paid a salary for contributing to that struggle.

It would have been much better if the UCPI had instead of running a secret inquiry had negotiated with the Home Office to be excluded from its inquisition undercover operations against terrorism and serious organised crime. The common law argument for proportionality of this sort of highly intrusive technique of intelligence gathering is easier to make than it is for legitimate political activity and at worst civil disobedience rather than life threatening criminality.

In terms of the bad behaviour of the former serving UCOs. I had earlier prepared a table of the "known" UCOs in the order in which they served undercover in the SDS which might in time shed light on the variable traffic of evidence into the inquiry.

I have included an image of this large table (Table 4) but it and the other data I have used here are available to download as an excel spreadsheet on my blogsite, and it is shared in my Drop Box <https://bit.ly/3NmqqOp>.



For these 85 UCOs identified in Table 4 their dates of service are known, and in all but four cases so are the groups they infiltrated. 78 can be identified by their cover names. 26 can be identified by the real names. 6 can only be identified by Herne Nominal.

There are however another 35 who can be identified only by their Herne Nominal, about whom we have at best a vague indication of their dates undercover and little indication of the organisations infiltrated:

**Table 5 Unknown UCOs**

	Real	Cover	Deployment
HN 349	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P1, Anarchist Groups
HN 322	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P1, Cover name unknown
HN 302	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2, Active in 1970s
HN 241	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2, Active in 1970s
HN 337	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in 1970s
HN 341	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2, Active in 1970s
HN 355	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2, Active late 1970s & early 1980s in Maoist Groups
HN 86	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active late 1970s & early 1980s
HN 41	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2, Active 1970s & 1980s
HN 109	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	T1P2 Dates not published, DI in 1986, Gave eviden 2/25
HN 21	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Late 1970s early 1980s
HN 97	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in 1980s
HN 102	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in Anarchist Groups in 1980s
HN 125	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in 1980s
HN 9	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	1980s
HN 8	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Late 1980s early 1990s
HN 7	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Late 1980s early 1990s
HN 101	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in SWP in 1990s
HN 123	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in 1990s
HN 27	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	1990s
HN 23	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	1990s
HN 6	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	1990s, 3 Groups Left MPS after deployment and retrained
HN 83	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active mid 1990s
HN 71	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active 1990s & 2000s
HN 72	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active UCO 1990s & 2000s, DI in SDS c 2005
HN 76	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Last 15 years of the existence of the SDS
HN 28	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Last 15 years of SDS
HN 40	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Last 10 years of the existence of the SDS
HN 91	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in last period of existence of the SDS
HN 112	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Active in last year of existence of the SDS, Not deployed
HN31	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	EN 31? - NPOIU, Trahche 4
HN 89	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	Deceased no dates given
HN 48	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	SDS No dates
HN37	Rest	Rest/NA'UK	NA

# Witness statements

As well as facilitating analysis the right sort of typology enables a spreadsheet to be filtered and and in this case documents of a similar kind to be isolated and identified. The following list of Police Witness statements took a couple of minutes to extract:

**Table five Police and state witness statements**

<b>Publications</b>	<b>Reference</b>	<b>Individuals</b>
Typed record of interview with HN81 concerning HN81's undercover work	MPS-0738122	HN 81
Typed Notes of meeting with HN81	MPS-0721973	HN 81
Statement by a Detective Chief Inspector for the purpose of Operation Herne	MPS-0721975	DCI
Statement by HN16 in response to questions by the Chairman of the Undercover Policing Inquiry in the context of HN16's anonymity application	UCPI0000035199	HN 16
Summary of the First Witness Statement of HN3503	UCPI0000037531	HN 39
Note of Operation Sparkler interview with HN32 (Sparkler Nominal HN95)	MPS-0737162	HN 32
Op Sparkler/Nitrogen Witness Statement from the exhibits officer of the investigation into the Debenham's Incendiary Device Incident of 1986.	MPS-0737172	HN 10
David John Horne Witness Statement for Debenhams Bombing Trial	UCPI0000034221	HN 10
Christopher Robin Howden Witness Statement for Debenhams bombing trial	MPS-0747856	HN 10
Witness Statement of Detective Constable Alan Leslie Nash	MPS-0737173	HN 10
Witness Statement of DC Terence Keating regarding search of 43 Hillside Road re Sparkler	MPS-0737170	HN 10
Witness Statement of Terence Keating re continuity of evidence in the investigation into the IID attack of Debenhams Harrow in 1987.	MPS-0737168	HN 10
Witness Statement of Linda Edwina Jones	UCPI0000034212	HN 10
Witness Statement of a Police Constable reference to the Debenhams firebomb on 12th July 1987	MPS-0720977	HN 10
Edward Stanley Wareham Witness Statement for Sparkler regarding activation of fire alarms at Harrow and Romford	MPS-0747858	HN 10
Witness Statement of a Police Constable reference to the Debenhams firebomb on 12th July 1987	MPS-0720976	HN 10
Linda Edwina Jones Witness Statement for Debenhams bombing trial	UCPI0000034211	HN 10
Fireman statement regarding Harrow Debenhams	MPS-0747859	HN 10
Witness Statement regarding incendiary device found at Luton Debenhams	MPS-0747857	HN 10
PC Bruce Gallie Witness Statement regarding Harrow Debenhams	UCPI0000034220	HN 10

Witness Statement – Police Investigator Arnie Cooke regarding Harrow Debenhams	UCPI0000034219	HN 10
DC Andrew William Millar Witness Statement regarding Romford Debenhams	MPS-0747860	HN 10
Witness Statement of a Detective Constable regarding a visit to “George”	MPS-0737153	HN 10
Robert Lambert prepared statement for police interview	MPS-0737138	HN 10
Robert Lambert prepared statement for police interview	MPS-0737137	HN 10
Witness Statement of HN10 Robert Lambert Regarding RCM Debenhams Claim Figures following the Debenhams Bombing	MPS-0739102	HN 10
Witness Statement from a member of the Metropolitan Police Service tasked with the responsibility to locate a specific file	UCPI0000034216	HN 10
Witness Statement of HN83	MPS-0736789	HN 95
First Witness Statement of HN19	UCPI0000034228	HN 19, HN 67
Second Witness Statement of HN126	MPS-0731969	HN 19, HN 67
Witness Statement of Frederick John Warne	MPS_0748266	HN 126
	UCPI0000035280	Frederick John Warne
Witness Statement of John Cracknell	MPS-0748338	John Cracknell
Witness Statement of Anthony Speed	MPS-0748205	Antony Speed
Witness Statement of Sir Charles Pollard	MPS-0748347	Sir Charles Pollard
Third Witness Statement of Roy Creamer	MPS-0748287	HN 3093
First Witness Statement of Steven Proctor	MPS-0748130	Steven Proctor
First Witness Statement of HN2152	MPS-0747155	HN 2152
First Witness Statement of HN350	MPS-0747192	HN 350
First Witness Statement of Trevor Butler	MPS-0747658	HN 307
Second Witness Statement of Geoffrey Craft	MPS-0748041	HN 34
First Witness Statement of Geoffrey Craft	MPS-0747446	HN 34
First Witness Statement of HN2041 Anthony Greenslade	MPS-0747760	HN 2401
Witness statement of David Bicknell, provided to Operation Herne and dated 27 November 2015	MPS-0726608	HN 357
First witness statement of HN3378 dated 15 September 2021	MPS-0747802	HN 3378
Second Witness Statement of HN3095	MPS-0747104	HN 325, HN 3095
First Witness Statement of William Furner	MPS-0741665	HN 3095
Second Witness Statement of Roy Creamer	MPS-0747215	HN 3093
Second Witness Statement of Barry Moss	MPS-0747797	HN 218
Unattributed Excerpts from Closed Officer Evidence	MPS-0748061	
Supplemented Witness Statement of HN155	MPS-0747546	HN 155
First Witness Statement of HN96	MPS-0745772	HN 96
First Witness Statement of HN80	UCPI0000033626	HN 80
First Witness Statement of HN106	MPS-0745735	HN 106
First Witness Statement of HN126	MPS-0740761	HN 126
Supplemented Witness Statement of HN354	MPS-0747657	HN 354
First Witness Statement of HN354	MPS-0744903	HN 354
First Witness Statement of HN304	MPS-0742282	HN 304
First Witness Statement of HN13’s widow	MPS-0740967	HN 13

First Witness Statement of HN300's 2nd wife	MPS-0747525	HN 300
First Witness Statement of HN353	MPS-0740413	HN 353
First Witness Statement of HN351	MPS-0740332	HN 351
First Witness Statement of HN200	MPS-0740968	HN 200
First Witness Statement of HN301	MPS-0742600	HN 301
First Witness Statement of HN298	MPS-0746258	HN 298
First Witness Statement of HN299/342	MPS-0745773	HN 299/HN 342
First Witness Statement of HN45	MPS-0741095	HN 45
First Witness Statement of HN347	MPS-0741697	HN 347
First Witness Statement of HN343	MPS-0739804	HN 343
First Witness Statement of HN349	MPS-0740356	HN 349
First Witness Statement of HN333	MPS-0740329	HN 333
First Witness Statement of HN339	MPS-0736910	HN 339
First Witness Statement of HN345	MPS-0741109	HN 345
First Witness Statement of HN348	MPS-0741698	HN 348
First Witness Statement of HN336	MPS-0739316	HN 336
First Witness Statement of HN340	MPS-0740414	HN 340
Second Witness Statement of HN328	MPS-0746302	HN 328
First Witness Statement of HN328	MPS-0740760	HN 328
First Witness Statement of HN321	MPS-0747158	HN 321
First Witness Statement of HN322	MPS-0740351	HN 322
First Witness Statement of HN330	MPS-0740328	HN 330
Second Witness Statement of HN330	MPS-0746304	HN 330
First Witness Statement of HN326	MPS-0738584	HN 326
Second Witness Statement of HN326	MPS-0741138	HN 326
First Witness Statement of HN329	MPS-0738576	HN 329
Second Witness Statement of HN329	MPS-0741140	HN 329
Second Witness Statement of HN334	MPS-0746257	HN 334
First Witness Statement of HN218	MPS-0740354	HN 218

*In part two I will look at the public hearings of UCO evidence during the SDS period.*